INTRODUCTION

Lipodystrophy is a rare, heterogeneous group of syndromes characterized by the complete or partial loss or absence of subcutaneous adipose tissue (1,2). Lipodystrophy is often, though not always, accompanied by metabolic derangements, including insulin resistance, diabetes mellitus, hepatic steatosis or steatohepatitis, and dyslipidemia (1,2). The metabolic derangements associated with lipodystrophy can be severe and lead to substantial comorbidities, including acute pancreatitis (due to severe hypertriglyceridemia), hepatic cirrhosis, and premature cardiovascular disease (1,2). Other manifestations of metabolic derangements can include polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS), acanthosis nigricans (due to severe insulin resistance), and eruptive xanthomas (due to severe hypertriglyceridemia) (1,2). Since the key characteristic of lipodystrophy is the selective absence of adipose tissue (primarily subcutaneous), the levels of adipocyte hormones can be altered (3). The best characterized of these hormones is leptin, with low leptin levels typically observed in patients with lipodystrophy (4); however, levels of other adipocytokines, such as adiponectin, are also lower in lipodystrophy (5).

Lipodystrophy can generally be classified on the basis of the extent or pattern of fat loss (generalized or partial) as well as whether the disease is genetic or acquired (1,6). This simplified classification scheme yields 4 major lipodystrophy subtypes: congenital generalized lipodystrophy (CGL), acquired generalized lipodystrophy (AGL), familial partial lipodystrophy (FPL), and acquired partial lipodystrophy (APL). Human immunodeficiency virus-associated lipodystrophy has been categorized as a type of APL (7) but, given the differences in presentation and pathophysiology, is considered separately from rare forms of acquired lipodystrophy for the purpose of this discussion. It should be noted that while this classification scheme serves as a useful general framework for understanding lipodystrophy, it is not all-inclusive of lipodystrophy syndromes given the heterogeneity of manifestations, variable patterns of fat loss, and genetic bases that have yet to be identified.

Lipodystrophy syndromes have attracted the curiosity of researchers for over 6 decades, yet have shifted further into the clinical/endocrinology spotlight in recent years, in part because adipose tissue has been found to secrete a variety of cytokines/hormones. Adipose tissue carries a distinctive ability to communicate the general energy status and...
inflammation threat posed to the brain and other organs of an organism through adipocytokines such as leptin, adiponectin, resistin, and many others (8). Further attention was attracted to the field of lipodystrophy in 2002, when the treatment effect of recombinant human leptin (metreleptin) was first reported in several severe cases of lipodystrophy (9). Subsequent longer-term studies over the last decade have supported the salutary effect of metreleptin treatment in lipodystrophy syndromes (10-13).

Despite progress in identifying the molecular basis of many lipodystrophy syndromes and published criteria for AGL and APL (14,15), many patients still escape the attention of physicians and are diagnosed late in the course of their disease. The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) convened a task force, which included clinical practitioners and leaders in lipodystrophy management and research, for the development of consensus recommendations for the detection of lipodystrophy. This document attempts to educate clinicians about lipodystrophy, increase awareness of its presence, improve the detection of subtle forms of lipodystrophy that may be underdiagnosed, and to provide a framework for the development of future diagnostic criteria. The target audience of these recommendations includes endocrinology specialists, reproductive endocrinologists, cardiologists, lipidologists, internists, family physicians, and pediatricians (especially neonatologists and pediatric endocrinologists).

A MEDLINE literature search was conducted and available references were compiled by the workshop chairs and distributed to task force members to facilitate a panel discussion. Consensus recommendations were formulated on the basis of the evidence gathered as well as through expert opinion. Unlike more common metabolic disorders, such as type 2 diabetes mellitus, for which evidence-based AACE guidelines have previously been developed, lipodystrophy is such a rare condition that the evidence base is generally less robust. A greater reliance on expert experience and opinion was required to develop criteria for increasing the detection of lipodystrophy.

**OVERVIEW OF LIPODYSTROPHY SUBTYPES**

**Congenital Generalized Lipodystrophy**

CGL, or Berardinelli-Seip syndrome, is an autosomal recessive disorder characterized by a generalized lack of adipose tissue at birth or shortly thereafter (within the first year of life), and is accompanied by prominent muscularity and subcutaneous veins (16,17). In addition, patients may have umbilical prominence, hepatomegaly, and splenomegaly (Figs. 1 A and B). In early childhood, patients with CGL may exhibit hyperphagia (possibly a manifestation of underlying leptin deficiency), accelerated linear growth, advanced bone age, or acromegaloïd features (enlarged hands, feet, and mandible), while later in childhood, acanthosis nigricans can develop and become widespread (18). Hyperinsulinemia and hypertriglyceridemia can occur at an early age, with ketosis-resistant diabetes mellitus usually developing later in adolescence. Hepatomegaly from severe hepatic steatosis is common and can progress to steatohepatitis, cirrhosis, and liver failure. Finally, females with CGL may have hirsutism, clitoromegaly, irregular menstrual periods, polycystic ovaries, and/or infertility (7).

It should be noted that most cases of CGL are usually diagnosed in early childhood; however, a few patients without access to regular medical care may reach early adulthood without a clear-cut diagnosis (Fig. 1 C). The key clinical findings in CGL and the 3 other major lipodystrophy subtypes are summarized in Table 1.

**Acquired Generalized Lipodystrophy**

AGL, or Lawrence syndrome, is also characterized by a generalized loss of subcutaneous fat tissue; however, in contrast to CGL, patients with AGL are born with normal fat distribution but lose fat in a generalized fashion, typically starting in childhood or adolescence (rarely beginning after 30 years of age) (14). Progressive fat loss usually occurs over a period of months to years, or as rapid as a few weeks for some patients, and affects large areas of the body, especially the face and extremities (including the palms and soles) (Fig. 2). Intra-abdominal fat loss is variable, and there may be sparing of bone marrow and retro-orbital fat. In some patients, the onset of AGL is heralded by the development of subcutaneous inflammatory nodules (panniculitis); these lesions heal with localized loss of fat, but fat is subsequently lost from almost all subcutaneous regions. AGL occurs in approximately 3 times as many women as men (14). In a case series of 79 patients (14), AGL was classified into panniculitis-associated (~25% of cases), autoimmune (~25%), and idiopathic types (~50%) on the basis of clinical findings, with an overlap between panniculitis and autoimmune types. Autoimmune diseases, especially juvenile dermatomyositis and autoimmune hepatitis, occur commonly with AGL (Fig. 2 B), suggesting that AGL could represent an autoimmune disease itself, but the inciting factors (autoantigens or effector mechanisms) remain to be elucidated.

For both CGL and AGL, the presentation of diabetes in association with clinical evidence of insulin resistance (e.g., high triglyceride levels) in a nonobese pediatric patient should serve as key distinguishing features from type 1 diabetes. Although some experts have suggested ketosis resistance as a distinguishing feature, it is important to recognize that patients with all forms of generalized lipodystrophy can develop ketoacidosis, especially under severe metabolic stress.

**Familial Partial Lipodystrophy**

FPL is predominantly inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion (19), although a single case of FPL was reported to be inherited in an autosomal recessive fashion.
Patients with FPL usually have normal body fat distribution during infancy and early childhood, but, beginning around or after puberty, typically develop variable and progressive loss of subcutaneous fat in the arms and legs resulting in a peripheral muscular appearance with variable loss of fat in the anterior abdomen and chest (21,22). Many patients (especially women) have fat accumulation in the face, neck, and intra-abdominal region (Fig. 3), which may lead to a Cushingoid appearance. Thus, a patient with FPL can have a physical appearance that resembles that typically associated with the metabolic syndrome and/or type 2 diabetes mellitus (Fig. 4), requiring astute clinical acumen and careful physical examination to recognize when lipodystrophy should be considered as a potential diagnosis or in the differential diagnosis. The identification of FPL in men can be especially difficult because of the muscular habitus of many average males, and may only come to light after diagnosis of a female relative with the condition (21).

Metabolic abnormalities associated with FPL typically manifest in early adulthood (21). Diabetes is more common and more severe in women than in men, particularly among multiparous women with excessive intra-abdominal fat deposition. Most affected women are able to reproduce normally, although some may develop hirsutism and menstrual irregularities suggestive of PCOS at an earlier age. Hypertriglyceridemia is a common finding in FPL and can be severe, potentially leading to acute pancreatitis, while hepatic steatosis and acanthosis nigricans may be less clinically impressive than that occurring in patients with generalized forms of lipodystrophy. Finally, some patients with FPL may develop myopathy, cardiomyopathy, and/or conduction system abnormalities (23).

**Acquired Partial Lipodystrophy**

In APL, or Barraquer-Simons syndrome, patients typically develop loss of subcutaneous fat during childhood or adolescence, though onset as late as the fourth or fifth decade of life has been reported (15). APL is characterized by a progressive loss of subcutaneous fat over months to years from the face, neck, arms, thorax, and upper abdomen. This fat loss typically progresses in a cephalocaudal fashion with sparing of the lower extremities, although the exact pattern of fat loss can vary (Fig. 5). Some patients may have excess fat accumulation over the lower abdomen, gluteal region, and legs. Metabolic complications are less common with APL than with other lipodystrophy subtypes. The main cause of morbidity appears to be chronic renal disease (especially membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis). APL has also been associated with a number of autoimmune diseases, including dermatomyositis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Most patients with APL have low levels of serum complement 3 (C3) accompanied by detectable levels of a circulating autoantibody, C3 nephritic factor. APL is also more common in women than in men (estimated 4:1 ratio) (15).
The cardinal feature of lipodystrophy is the selective loss of subcutaneous adipose tissue. However, the exact distribution of fat loss differs across the various forms of lipodystrophy, as described above, with sparing of fat loss or even excess accumulation of body fat in regions of the body, such as the face and the neck (FPL) or lower extremities (APL). In some cases, loss of adipose tissue can be sufficiently prominent and almost instantly recognizable if one is aware of the condition, or it can be more subtle, requiring a clinician to have considered it in the differential diagnosis of a patient presenting with common metabolic abnormalities (insulin resistance, diabetes, or hypertriglyceridemia). The conventional 2 x 2 diagnostic scheme, namely generalized versus partial and congenital versus acquired lipodystrophy, emanated largely from...
the identification of patients with pronounced phenotypes. However, the clinician should keep in mind that partial lipodystrophies may have a greater prevalence than previously thought due to the lack of detection of subtle forms. In one study of over 5000 Dutch patients with diabetes from 3 outpatient clinics where 2 screening criteria were applied (body mass index ≤27 kg/m² and use of >100 units of insulin/day), 12 out of 24 patients meeting these criteria had further characterization, 5 of whom were eventually diagnosed with FPL (3 with confirmed genetic mutations) (24). Thus, with a more comprehensive understanding of the range of lipodystrophy manifestations and the relationship between gene mutations and clinical presentations, the conventional diagnostic scheme may require modification and expansion, particularly with respect to forms of partial lipodystrophy.

Although lipodystrophy is often accompanied by metabolic abnormalities, not all patients manifest them on presentation. Thus, lipodystrophy can be identified in the absence of metabolic abnormalities. Clinical laboratory testing (i.e., blood glucose, glycated hemoglobin [HbA₁c], triglyceride level, liver function studies, etc.) on initial evaluation of the patient with suspected lipodystrophy may still be useful for providing a baseline from which to monitor development of future metabolic abnormalities (if not already present), and should be considered the standard of care.

Given that certain types of lipodystrophy, especially FPL, can bear some resemblance to common metabolic conditions (i.e., obesity, metabolic syndrome, and diabetes mellitus), the AACE task force recommends considering a group of simple clinical characteristics that should raise the clinician’s suspicion of lipodystrophy in a patient. These criteria appear in Table 2. As most cases of generalized lipodystrophy (CGL and AGL) are more likely to be diagnosed earlier in life due to their characteristic and often striking physical appearance, often profound severity of metabolic derangements, and/or earlier age of onset, these clinical characteristics focus on improving the detection of more subtle manifestations of lipodystrophy (i.e., FPL) as well as detecting patients with other forms who may not have been diagnosed at an earlier age. These characteristics are believed to be applicable to most patients ≥2 years of age and can be readily measured/observed in most clinical settings.

Patients with definitive evidence of the core characteristic, or with possible evidence of the core characteristic plus at least one supportive characteristic, should be considered to have a high likelihood of lipodystrophy and
should be considered for referral to a research center specializing in lipodystrophy diagnosis and management (for more information, see http://www.mylipodystrophy.com/).

CONSIDERATIONS IN THE DETECTION AND DIAGNOSIS OF LIPODYSTROPHY

Although not included in the clinical characteristics above, caliper measurements of skinfold thickness may be helpful to quantify or characterize fat loss. Approximately 90% of adult men and women will have skinfold thickness values ≥10 mm and ≥22 mm, respectively, at the anterior thigh (15,25); lower thickness values are supportive information for the diagnosis of lipodystrophy.

When fat loss is not visibly evident by physical manifestations, hyperglycemia and hypertriglyceridemia that are resistant or unresponsive to conventional treatment may serve as the only indication to the clinician that a patient may have lipodystrophy. The possibility of a lipodystrophy diagnosis should be considered in patients requiring ≥200 units/day (≥2 units/kg/day) of insulin to overcome insulin resistance or displaying triglyceride levels that remain persistently elevated (≥250 mg/dL) despite fully optimized therapy or diet modifications.

Lipodystrophy is typically accompanied by low (or relatively low) levels of the adipocyte-secreted hormone leptin (4). Thus, leptin levels may provide useful supportive information, but are not necessary or specific for

Fig. 3. Familial partial lipodystrophy in 2 sisters. Both patients are in their early thirties. The patient on the left has diabetes mellitus, while the patient on the right is nondiabetic. Note increased fat accumulation in the face and neck (A) with subcutaneous fat loss and muscularity in the arm (B).

Fig. 4. Familial partial lipodystrophy (FPL) in a mother and daughter pair A) before and B) after liposuction at the neck in the younger patient. Note the more pronounced facial and central fat accumulation in the daughter compared with the mother. FPL can be commonly mistaken for obesity associated with metabolic syndrome and or diabetes.
the diagnosis of lipodystrophy, as low leptin levels may be observed in other conditions (e.g., hypothalamic amenorrhea and malnutrition). Similarly, while a “high” leptin level may make the diagnosis of lipodystrophy less likely, limited data exist regarding the range of leptin levels in patients with a confirmed diagnosis of lipodystrophy. In addition, while leptin levels may be ordered as a clinical laboratory test, leptin assays have not been standardized, and normative ranges have not been well established.

Finally, it is important to note that patients with the most severely affected forms of lipodystrophy may also present with associated neuroendocrine and immunological abnormalities (e.g., amenorrhea and a relative deficiency of T lymphocyte populations) as well as hyperphagia (26).

POTENTIAL MANAGEMENT MODALITIES

Current therapeutic options for the metabolic management of lipodystrophy consist of lifestyle modifications (diet and exercise) and conventional antihyperglycemic and lipid-lowering medications. Metformin, sulfonylureas, thiazolidinediones, and insulin can be used to manage hyperglycemia, while fibrates and statins can be used to manage hypertriglycerideremia. Out of the oral antihyperglycemics, thiazolidinediones have been studied the most extensively with small clinical trials or case series with variable results (27,28). Where metabolic abnormalities associated with lipodystrophy are particularly severe, conventional treatments, alone or in combination, are likely to be inadequate at re-establishing metabolic control. Plasmapheresis has been an option for lowering dangerously high triglyceride levels to control painful xanthoma and prevent pancreatitis (29). Studies employing a very low-fat diet are underway. In the presence of hyperglycemia with severe insulin resistance, patients are likely to require very high doses of insulin and will benefit from highly concentrated insulin, such as U-500 insulin. Leptin replacement therapy has been investigated and was associated with sustained reductions in triglyceride, total cholesterol, and HbA1c levels (9-11,30). Metreleptin, a human leptin analog, is currently under review by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of certain metabolic abnormalities associated with lipodystrophy, which underscores the need to properly identify patients with this condition, including those with more subtle clinical presentations. While not required by all patients, consideration should also be given for the cosmetic management of disfigurements (fat loss, fat redistribution, and outward manifestations of insulin resistance) that can severely impact patient self-image and sense of well-being. Finally, metabolic improvement in a few patients with FPL were reported following Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (31), but most patients with FPL do not meet the current weight thresholds for third-party reimbursement guidelines.

CONCLUSION

Lipodystrophy is a condition characterized by regional or total selective loss or absence of subcutaneous fat. This can occur either in the presence or absence of metabolic abnormalities, and with diverse clinical presentations. While generalized forms of lipodystrophy are often diagnosed during childhood or adolescence, some forms of lipodystrophy, particularly FPL, may bear some resemblance to common metabolic disorders managed by adult endocrinologists. Hyperglycemia and hypertriglycerideremia that are resistant to treatment or the use of very high doses of insulin may be important clues of lipodystrophy in the clinical setting. This consensus statement aims to improve the detection of all types of lipodystrophy and will likely be updated and refined as the knowledge base of lipodystrophy grows through continued research efforts. It is important to acknowledge that these criteria have not been tested prospectively, but efforts to bring these criteria to various clinical settings (private, academic, government,
and others) may be important for understanding the true prevalence of these disorders. Improving the detection of lipodystrophy and increasing general awareness of the disease will help to ensure that patients with lipodystrophy receive appropriate treatment. Therapeutic management for patients with lipodystrophy focuses on attaining metabolic control and managing cosmetic problems associated with fat loss.
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Table 2

| Core clinical characteristic for lipodystrophy | • Loss or absence of subcutaneous body fat in a partial or generalized fashion |
| Core clinical characteristic for familial partial lipodystrophy: | • Loss of subcutaneous body fat, typically occurring around or shortly after puberty, occurring in the extremities and/or gluteal region with sparing of fat loss or accumulation of excess fat in the face and neck or intra-abdominal area |
| Supportive clinical characteristics for lipodystrophy: | • Presence of diabetes with evidence of severe insulin resistance o Diabetes mellitus with requirement for high doses of insulin, eg, requiring ≥200 U/day, ≥2 U/kg/day, or currently taking U-500 insulin o Ketosis-resistant diabetes • Other evidence of severe insulin resistance o Acanthosis nigricans o PCOS or PCOS-like symptoms (hyperandrogenism, oligomenorrhea, and/or polycystic ovaries) • Presence of hypertriglyceridemia o Severe hypertriglyceridemia (≥500 mg/dL) o Triglyceride levels that are non-responsive to therapy and/or modifications to diet (≥250 mg/dL) o History of pancreatitis associated with hypertriglyceridemia • Evidence of hepatic steatosis or steatohepatitis o Hepatomegaly and/or elevated transaminases in the absence of a known cause of liver disease (eg, viral hepatitis) may be consistent with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. o Radiographic evidence of hepatic steatosis (e.g., on ultrasound or CT) • Family history of similar physical appearance and/or history of fat loss • Prominent musculature and phlebomegaly (enlarged veins) in the extremities • Disproportionate hyperphagia (cannot stop eating, waking up to eat, fighting for food) • Secondary hypogonadism in a male or primary/secondary amenorrhea in a female patient

*Abbreviations: CT = computed tomography; PCOS = polycystic ovarian syndrome.*
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